

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/509,532	05/16/2005	05/16/2005 Martin Armbruster		2784		
29689	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER			
DAVID A. O	GUERRA ON PATENT GROUP, L	UPTON, CHE	UPTON, CHRISTOPHER			
	R, 610 8TH AVENUE S.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CALGARY,	ÁB T2P 1G5	1724				
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	T						
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/509,53	2	ARMBRUSTER, MARTIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christophe	:	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ 6) ☑ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9) ☐ 10) ☐	Claim(s) 19-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 19-38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken in the correction of th	wn from con r election re r. epted or b)[ drawing(s) be tion is require	quirement.  objected to by the E held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	!	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e				

Application/Control Number: 10/509,532

Art Unit: 1724

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 19, 20, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Botsch.

Claims 19, 20, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patents 59-4407 or 2000-325706.

Botsch and the Japanese patents each disclose sedimentation basins with central inlets having an adjustable height by means of an adjustable annular collar, which forms a deflecting plate, as claimed.

3. Claims 19-21, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eis, Simonsen or Sayers.

Eis, Simonsen and Sayers each disclose sedimentation basins having central inlets with adjustable height openings formed by adjustable horizontal deflector plates, as claimed.

4. Claims 27, 28, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smati.

Smati discloses a sedimentation basin having an inlet channel at one end with a plurality of openings at different heights, which may be adjusted (see column 4, liens 1-4) as claimed.

5. Claims 19-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Vague and functional language such as "can be" should be avoided. Proper antecedent basis should be established for the structures recited in the dependent claims.

6. Claims 24, 26, 29, 30, 32-34 and 36-38 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The recitation of a sediment basin having an adjustable inlet of the species recited in claims 24 or 33 (an adjustable inlet conduit); 26 (a central inlet with a flow deflector having an adjustable angle above the inlet); 29, 30 or 32 (a side inlet channel with an adjustable deflector plate); 34 (plural inlet conduits disposed above each other); and 36 (an adjustable side inlet with an upwardly angled deflector) patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1724

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Bascope, Tuttle, Potter, Hall, Rickelm and Terrien.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JA.

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner